

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

**In Re: Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether Products
Liability Litigation**

This document pertains to:

*Incorporated Village of Mineola v.
AGIP Inc., et al.*, Case No. 03-CV-10051

*West Hempstead Water District v.
AGIP Inc., et al.*, Case No. 03-CV-10052

*Carle Place Water District v.
AGIP Inc., et al.*, Case No. 03-CV-10053

*Town of Southampton, et al. v.
AGIP Inc., et al.*, Case No. 03-CV-10054

*Village of Hempstead v. AGIP Inc., et al.,
Case No. 03-CV-10055*

*Town of East Hampton, et al. v.
AGIP Inc., et al.*, Case No. 03-CV-10056

*Westbury Water District v. AGIP Inc., et al.,
Case No. 03-CV-10057*

*Homosassa Water District v. Amerada Hess
Corp., et al.*, Case No. 07-CV-4009


*City of Inverness v. Amerada Hess Corp., et al.,
Case No. 07-CV-4011*

*Tampa Bay Water District v. Amerada Hess
Corp., et al.*, Case No. 07-CV-4012

*City of Crystal River v. Amerada Hess Corp., et
al.*, Case No. 07-CV-6848

*Manhasset-Lakeville Water District v. Amerada
Hess Corp., et al.*, Case No. 08-CV-7764

*Riverhead Water District v. Amerada Hess
Corp., et al.*, Case No. 08-CV-7766



ORDER

Master File No. 1:00-1898
MDL 1358 (SAS)
M21-88
Civil Action

Greenlawn Water District v. Amerada Hess Corp., et al., Case No. 08-CV-9619

Town of Huntington/Dix Hills Water District v. Amerada Hess Corp., et al., 08-CV-9620

South Huntington Water District v. Amerada Hess Corp., et al., Case No. 08-CV-9621

City of Glen Cove v. Amerada Hess Corp., et al., Case No. 08-CV-9622

Plainview Water District v. Amerada Hess Corp., et al., Case No. 08-CV-9667

Oyster Bay Water District v. Amerada Hess Corp., et al., Case No. 08-CV-9994

Garden City Park Water District v. Amerada Hess Corp., et al., Case No. 08-CV-11056

**STIPULATION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF ALL CLAIMS
AGAINST SETTLING DEFENDANTS**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2), Plaintiffs in the above-captioned cases (collectively, "Plaintiffs") and Exxon Mobil Corporation, ExxonMobil Chemical Company, Inc., Exxon Corporation, ExxonMobil Chemical Corporation, ExxonMobil Oil Corporation, and Mobil Corporation (collectively, "Settling Defendants"), have advised the Court that they have resolved the matters between them and agree to the entry of this Stipulation and Order of Dismissal of the claims against the Settling Defendants as indicated by the signature of the respective counsel below. This Court finds that this Stipulated Order of Dismissal should be entered with the findings included below:

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the Parties to this Stipulation and over the subject matter of this action. The Parties to this Stipulation have advised the Court of their agreement to

settle the captioned matters pursuant to a Settlement Agreement and this Stipulated Order of Dismissal.


2. The Parties to this Stipulation consent to the dismissal of the captioned actions as to the Settling Defendants only, including all claims and counterclaims, with prejudice.

3. Each party shall bear its own costs and attorneys' fees.

AGREED TO AND ACCEPTED BY:

Dated: 12/19/11

**NAPOLI BERN RIPKA &
ASSOCIATES, LLP**


By: 

Tate J. Kunkle, Esq.
Empire State Building
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7413
New York, New York 10118
Phone: (212) 267-3700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Dated: 12/15/11

**McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY,
LLP**

By: 

Peter John Sacripanti, Esq.
Stephen J. Riccardulli, Esq.
340 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10173
Phone: (212) 547-5400

*Attorney for Defendants Exxon Mobil
Corporation, ExxonMobil Chemical
Company, Inc., Exxon Corporation,
ExxonMobil Chemical Corporation,
ExxonMobil Oil Corporation, and Mobil
Corporation*

SO ORDERED:


The Honorable Shira A. Scheindlin
United States District Judge

Dated: Dec. 21, 2011.